

was not licensed in the Town of Poughkeepsie. He is actually licensed in the City of Albany and so we've been working through what is the quickest way to get them licensed and to get this project back up and running. At this particular point in time the, because of the plumbing portion of the project requires the doors be open and that sort of thing, the project is not quite shut down, there are still other things going on to retrofit and repair the building, but probably in a couple of weeks it will probably be a critical path and they would probably have to stop work altogether to wait a resolution on the licensing for the plumber is the low bidder. One of the things we've been talking about is that there is a special permit provision in our current code that allows for the issuance of a plumbing permit twice a year for a specific project, but it still requires a licensed plumber or someone licensed by a municipality by which we have a reciprocal agreement which presently is only Putnam County. In the past, that reciprocity did extend into Westchester County and they had changed their licensing test procedures and they use a different test from ours and that is one of the requirements that the reciprocity is that the other municipality use the same testing procedure equivalent to the one we have and there is different ways to administer the test. Some municipalities make up their own. The City of Albany makes up their own. The Town uses an online vendor, which is Councilwoman Shershin: Prometric and there are tests three times a year. They have a proctor who comes in to oversee the testing. We are talking about one that would be on a different site where they would have a test available for six days a week which is in Albany and Westchester, at a lower cost to the plumbers. It hasn't been implemented already, but they are talking about taking a test case and this plumber could be our test case if he is willing to take the test in the next two weeks and know that he has ten years of experience, we could just license him as a plumber.

Neil Wilson: I don't think that he is unwilling to provide his credentials and take the test. I've spoken to him about two weeks ago and he is willing to do that, but if you take a look at the other procedures, to obtain a license, it requires submitting qualifications and other information that you have to provide, Social Security, and some other items that could take months.

Councilwoman Shershin: In the Town Code it says just to demonstrate ten years of experience. Do we have to come with the Social Security or is there other equivalents that can be provided?

Neil Wilson: The way I'm reading it, that's the requirement in the Code.

Councilwoman Shershin: I just looked it up and it just says 10 years of experience.

Councilman Krakower: The other question I have is, the low bidder, there was nothing in the bid package that required them to be a licensed plumber?

Neil Wilson: What you have in front of you is what would be allowed on a case by case basis, the issuance of a Special Permit for a specific job by the Building

Inspector for someone who comes in for a publicly bid job as opposed to a private job and it is kind of it in a nutshell.

Councilman Carlos: When is the last time we had an exam?

Councilwoman Shershin: The next one will be coming up at the end of June and so four months before that. (March)

Councilman Carlos: And this project started in November?

Neil Wilson: Well, they started without permits in November, yes. That's a whole different issue. We've issued some permits and we are waiting to issue the plumbing permit depending on how this licensing question gets issued and there are other permits waiting to get issued based on certifications for other work that got started in November and was done in accordance with the building plans and we are still waiting on architects for that and so there are portions of the permits that have been issued.

Supervisor Tancredi: I would like to, if everyone of the Town Board agrees, allow the public comment on the agenda, which is this one item. So, I would like to make a motion to suspend the rules for public comments on this agenda item. Supervisor Tancredi/W. Carlos

**ROLL CALL: Ayes: Councilmen Baisley, Carlos, Conte, Cifone, Krakower,
Councilwoman Shershin and Supervisor Tancredi
Nays: None**

CARRIED: 7-0

Doreen Tignanelli: Can I ask a question on the Committee of the Whole Agenda Item? (Sure) I was just wondering where the LVS Subdivision is? But, I'm sure that will be covered and then this thing with the Library. I would worry about setting a precedent and also the fact that it was the low bidder. They already started the work without a permit and they are already late on a report that was due and I just filed a FOIL request and saw that the inspection report says that the site architect had been reminded last week that the April report was due and as of yesterday it has not yet been received and so I'm worried about the lack of compliance on this project and so I would hope that you will keep that in mind.

Motion made to resume the rules: Supervisor Tancredi/M. Cifone

CARRIED: 7-0

Tom Lawrence from Library District: This is not the way we want this project to unfold, but there are certain complications that we've experienced. What is presented to us now and what we are asking that the Town Board consider is a remedy to a problem that really focuses on how Public Works permitting is done

and how the current Town Code will affect how Public Works permitting granted. When we bid a job because we are a public corporation, we have to abide by public bidding. We have to award a contract to the lowest, reasonable bid and we have to produce a quality of work that is expected out of a public works contract. The problem that we have now is that the lowest bidder is not licensed to do plumbing work. There is the current option available for the Plumbing Board to grant a special permit. There is an apparent unwillingness of the Plumbing Board to do that and again, what you are experiencing here is the need to look at the Plumbing Code in relation to a Plumbing Code job that really doesn't come up that often. When you look at the public works that you have in this community, it's pretty limited. You've got my building, you've got I would imagine fire district buildings, but you don't have school districts, or county buildings, because they have separate authorities that have jurisdiction over how they do things and so this element of the Town Code creates a problem for a Public Works Corporation wanting to comply with low bidding but not sole source the bid to someone who is pre-licensed in the Town of Poughkeepsie. The bid documents do indicate that the owner is responsible for getting the building permit and any other permits required to complete the job is the responsibility of the contractor. This contractor felt that he would be given the courtesy of a special permit because he is a licensed long tenured plumber in the City of Albany with a raft of public works jobs throughout the entire Capital District, not just in the City of Albany. That appears not to be a willing action on the part of the local Plumbing Board and so that creates a serious complication for us. If we need to rebid the job, it's going to bring everything to a halt possibly up to six month with seriously unintended consequences of cost that the taxpayers are going to have to bear.

Councilman Krakower: What was the next lowest bid that came in?

Tom Lawrence: \$30,000 more.

Councilman Krakower: Did the bid specifications require that the individual be licensed or have the appropriate licenses?

Tom Lawrence; No, because it would not comply with the open bid law. You can't do that. They have to be eligible for a license, you can't say you must have an existing license.

Councilman Krakower: When was the bid awarded?

Tom Lawrence: The contractor signed in early November.

Councilman Krakower: So, why didn't the plumber from Albany come to the Town of Poughkeepsie as soon as he got that bid award and try to remedy that because my impression is that the application was not in front of the Board for this plumber.

Tom Lawrence: Because the contractor felt that the Special Permit was going to be allowed.

Supervisor Tancredi: I think he thought that there was a reciprocal relationship.

Councilman Krakower: But the Special Permit would have to been by the Plumbing Board based on our Code and he was aware of that?

Tom Lawrence: I would imagine that he was at the time, yes.

Councilman Carlos: Did he call Town Hall, because they would have told him the test was coming up?

Tom Lawrence: Well, in order to sit for the test, you would have to make the application and for the application you would need the Social Security Data, which even Ann admits could take months yet and so he wouldn't have had the contract in hand until November.

Supervisor Tancredi: I received an Albany call and I think the plumber thought that it was this relationship and then when I was talking to the City of Albany inspector, he was talking about Poughkeepsie this and Poughkeepsie that and at one point he mentioned the City of Poughkeepsie, and I said "Wait a minute, I think that's the problem". You are speaking to the Town of Poughkeepsie and the job in the Town of Poughkeepsie is different from the City of Poughkeepsie and so that might be the problem.

Councilman Krakower stated that if he didn't know the Town of Poughkeepsie rules, he should have contacted the Town of Poughkeepsie to find them and in advance and then make an effort to comply and at least know he had to get a Plumbing Permit. The idea of "Gee, I just thought they would waive me in", is—

Councilman Baisley: Well, along that line, you are right, but as your saying, he's done so many jobs in the Capitol District, it's not like he is brand new at this. He just thought he could just walk in and being in the Municipality.

Councilwoman Shershin: And it was his assumption that the City and Town had reciprocity with each other and we don't.

Councilman Carlos: If he had contacted someone in November when he left the bid, he could have taken the exam in April or May when we gave it and we wouldn't be sitting here with this tonight and so you are asking us to consider changing our law because your plumber screwed up. That doesn't make any sense to me.

Tom Lawrence: I think it's more than that. Your Town Plumbing Board has the opportunity to make this happen and choose and are apparently choosing not to.

Supervisor Tancredi: I'm not sure they do, based on the Code and as I read it, we need to have this reciprocal program and we don't with Albany and so from my point of view, we all recognize the big problem here. The question is, how do we resolve it and do we want to resolve it? It is a public project and any cost overruns and delays are going to be borne by the taxpayers that we represent and the taxpayers of the City of Poughkeepsie and so that's why it is here tonight and that's the decision I think we have. We can say "No" let them restart –

Councilman Carlos: We are setting it for a Public Hearing, so, why don't we do that and have the public talk to us.

Supervisor Tancredi: Well, I agree, but I just think we are going to have---

Councilman Krakower: But, why advertise and go through the whole process if we are not going to do it---

Supervisor Tancredi: I agree, if we are not going to do, let's not go through that process.

Councilman Krakower: I think the criteria should be, I'm not completely opposed to the Building Inspector having the authority to do this in addition to the Plumbing Board, but I think the criteria should be either identical or similar in that the currently, if the Plumbing Board were to allow this plumber in, then it's provided that the applicant is licensed by another municipality that has a comparable test or licensing requirements and said municipality offers a similar reciprocal program for licensees for the Town of Poughkeepsie. Clearly Albany does not have a reciprocal program right now, so me, I would take that part out, because we are just basically sort of tying our hands unnecessarily when we want to make some exceptions, but I do think that it should be a comparable test and licensing requirements, but at the very least it should be a comparable license requirement. If we are going to allow to come in for a one or two time basis per year, they should have the same standards or requirements as the guy who has been here all along and following our rules and in order to be fair to our plumbers who are licensed and are following the rules, anyone coming in from another jurisdiction, should have to meet our requirements. The other thing is, it's a cost of \$250 per permit per year. If you were a licensed plumber in the Town of Poughkeepsie I think the Plumbing license is \$350 (Councilwoman Shershin: yes it is) and for the test it is \$200. So, I think, even if you are doing one job, you should kind of level that playing field. We want to encourage people to kind of following our rules if you want to do work in our community and not to come through exceptions. So, I think the fee should be equal to that \$550 as a way to make it even so when people are bidding on the jobs, it's comparable. I think we will want our Building Inspector, Tim Sickles to be able to make some of these decisions, and especially with the Library District. I think the bare minimum should be the same license and requirements.

Tom Lawrence: Ok, then you are saying that the person coming in should provide it to Mr. Sickles and he makes the decision. (Right)

Town Attorney Nelson: Before we go forward with this, do you want to amend it first for the \$550?

Councilman Krakower: I would make an amendment to either make it \$550 and not less than.

Motion made to amend the original resolution to the forthcoming resolution.

SO MOVED: S. Krakower/M. Cifone

CARRIED: 7-0

**05:14-02 SET DATE FOR
PUBLIC HEARING**

**Amend Chapter 154, Article IV,
Issuance, Denial And Revocation
Of Plumber's License; Certificate
Of Insurance; Reciprocity:
Special Permits – Section 154-26D**

RESOLUTION

BE IT RESOLVED, that the Town Board of the Town of Poughkeepsie does hereby set the 21st day of May, 2014 at 7:00 PM at the Town Hall, Town of Poughkeepsie, One Overocker Road, Poughkeepsie, NY as and for the time, date and place of a public hearing to consider amendments to the Town of Poughkeepsie Plumbing Code, Chapter 154, Article IV, Section 154 -26 Issuance, denial and revocation of plumber's license; certificate of insurance; reciprocity; special permits, specifically Section 154-26 D, entitled "Special Permits", which amendments are as follows, with the words underlined to be added, and those words stricken to be deleted:

Section 154-26

- D. Special permits. (1) The Town of Poughkeepsie Plumbing Board may in its discretion issue a permit to an individual or corporation who is not licensed by the Town of Poughkeepsie Plumbing Board for a specific project twice a year at a cost equal to the licensing and testing fee, of \$250 per permit, provided that the applicant is licensed by another municipality that has a comparable test or licensing requirements and said municipality offers a similar reciprocal program for licensees of the Town of Poughkeepsie. It is within the sole and absolute discretion of the Town of Poughkeepsie Plumbing Board to insure that the criteria of the licensing community or municipality is the same as the Town of Poughkeepsie.**

(2) Notwithstanding anything in this Chapter to the

contrary, the Building Inspector may in his or her discretion issue a permit to an individual, partnership or corporation who is not licensed by the Town of Poughkeepsie Plumbing Board for a specific project for a public corporation or for a district or corporation created by the State Legislature twice a year at a cost equal to the licensing and testing fee, per permit, provided that the applicant is licensed by another municipality in the State of New York which has licensing requirements comparable to those of the Town of Poughkeepsie.

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Town Board of the Town of Poughkeepsie determines that this action is a Type II Action and does further determine that no further environmental action is required; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that said local law, if adopted, shall take effect immediately upon filing with the Secretary of State.

SO MOVED: J. Baisley/J. Conte

**ROLL CALL: Ayes: Councilmen Baisley, Carlos, Conte, Cifone, Krakower,
Councilwoman Shershin and Supervisor Tancredi
Nays: None**

CARRIED: 7-0

Councilman Krakower requested to amend paragraph one to read “Cost comparable to the licensing and testing fee. (from first paragraph)

Supervisor Tancredi: Oh, you want to change special permits as well? (Yes)

SO MOVED AS AMENDED: S. Krakower/Supervisor Tancredi

**ROLL CALL: Ayes: Councilmen Baisley, Carlos, Conte, Cifone, Krakower,
Councilwoman Shershin and Supervisor Tancredi
Nays: None**

CARRIED: 7-0

COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE MEETING

05:14-COW01 DISCUSSION

**Presentation-Hudson Heritage
Project Conceptual Design -
Former Hudson River Psychiatric
Center Site**

Nicholas Minoia: I am one of the managing members of Diversified Realty Advisors and we have a couple of handouts you can leaf through while we are making our presentation. It's the history of our company and also a scaled down version of the plan we are going to show you tonight. First of all, thank you for allowing us the opportunity for being here this evening. The intent is to provide you with some

information as to the current status of our work in connection with the Hudson Heritage property, (Hudson River Psychiatric Center Property) which we acquired in November of last year. We acquired the property as a joint venture between my firm, located in Summit, New Jersey, and a Brownfield Redevelopment Company by the name of EFG Enviro Finance Group out of Denver, Colorado. We acquired the property in November and we've been working steadily behind the scenes for the last six or seven months to assemble what we believe is a first class team to be able to present a plan of development on a formal basis to the Town of Poughkeepsie. We are not looking for any action to be taken, it is purely informational and we come before the Board to give you an update as to who we are, what we've been up and to unveil for the first time, publicly, a concept plan we've worked on for the last six or seven months. Before I do that, I will give a little background on the firms that represent the company. My firm is in the real estate development business, based on residential development for 25 or so years. This project located here is a design in nature which has complexities to it in terms of the underpinnings of the site. There is some contamination and underground contamination and there is also a tremendous amount of asbestos and lead based paint. On the southern portion of the aerial drawing is Route 9. You can see that the roof of the central building was burned off and substantially collapsed. That's about 156 acres which we acquired. They had roughly 300 acres when the property was sold approximately 10 years ago. It has 50 some buildings on the property, about 1.2 million sq. ft. of institutional space. Over the last several months we've had the pleasure of meeting with many members of the Town of Poughkeepsie staff and members of the Town Board, Planning Board and other interested parties to get input on their thoughts of the project. We ended up choosing a company called "Looney Ricks" firm out of Princeton, New Jersey which was a company that had done some design work on the property many, many years ago when the Zoning was first put in place. At this point I will show you the plan we developed. (He described several pieces of property their company has done and are doing at this time – 4 in the State of New York). The property in which is the theme of discussion is an aerial view (attached to the final book copy), this site will have 750 residential units. There is 35 or 40 acres of commercial retail development at the southern end of the site, off of Winslow and adjacent to Home Depot. This would be a scaled down version of a Strip Center. It's a different scale than your big box or neighborhood strip center. Both are incorporated here because that is what the market is today. We design projects that are not necessarily a form of art but cater to the market. This is the scheme that we think works in this current economic environment. There is between 12 to 15 million dollars worth of demolition to happen on this site. Not including the environmental work that has to happen on the north part of the property. The north side of the property has been qualified to be included in the Brownfield Cleanup Program or otherwise known as BCP. The DEC is administering and providing us guidance on what has to happen for further testing under the power house, auto center, mechanic shop and other parts of the property that had underground, soil related contamination. The rest of the property to the South, the largest buildings are located in the Southern portion. That portion of the property is where the most costly demolition is and unfortunately, that part of the

property does not qualify for the Brownfield Clean Up program and so there are no State incentives there because the property is not dirty enough to qualify for the program. We are at the stage now where we need to amend the scoping document done for this property a number of years ago, based on the plan we put together and meetings we've had with the Town of Poughkeepsie staff and we are working on a format amending any underlying zoning and a new scoping document that we think is more appropriate with what this property needs now to be in line with the master plan that we've developed. We will be here tomorrow to speak to the Planning Board on the project.

Supervisor Tancredi asked how far back off of Route 9 will this project be. The answer was approximately 50 to 100 feet back.

Discussion continued with Board members questions and comments and Mr. Minoia Giving more details of the project plans.

**COMPLETE FOLDER OF ALL MAPS AND COMPLETE OUTLAY OF PLANS
ATTACHED TO FINAL BOOK COPY**

05:14-COW 02 DISCUSSION

**Presentation-Kelly Libolt re: LVS
Subdivision – Route 9G- Senior
Housing**

Kelly Libolt - KRC – This project is actually located on 9G, the former veterans building and it is a single family residential. The property owner, was before the Town about 1 ½ to two years ago and received a subdivision approval to do a five lot subdivision. They were going to build a house and live there and they installed a lot of the improvements like the water lines, sewer lines, connection to Sophia's Way and so things changed and they decided to do something else with the property. As we all know, this has been a very difficult section of the Town to try to invigorate and the purpose tonight is to just talk about what they want to do. She showed an aerial photograph of the property. (Two different photos were introduced, one was existing conditions and the other was proposed plans) The property consists of seven acres, which is consistent with SHOD requirements. After he received subdivision approval, he actually received another piece in back of the property and so it's a larger piece from what was originally before the Board and what they are proposing is a mixed use product. There is a retail office in the front, with the senior housing a part of that component. What we are proposing is two buildings in the front, 2 two story buildings, and 4500 sq. ft. each. So, it would be 4500 sq. ft. of office retail on the first floor and 4500 sq. above it with senior housing apartments. As you get back to the further part of the property, the second building is 6300 sq. ft and is a three story residential structure. The plan is to do flats on the bottom with two stories on the top. So, handicaps or anyone with physical restraints, can rent the lower one story unit and the units above will be two story. Living room on bottom and bedrooms on top. Building D is set up the same way. 4,000 sq. feet, three story structure. There is a wetland area in the back, which we would like to

incorporate into the site, perhaps with some landscaping. There is a road system to bring you to the parcel in the back and this is a 1700 sq. ft. structure that is three stories and same scenario with the one story on bottom and two stories on top.

Councilman Krakower: In my opinion, the layout is terrible. You come in through two office buildings into a residential complex.

Kelly Libolt: The intent of that is to really just frame the property so you don't just have two buildings in the front.

Supervisor Tancredi: In terms of the cul-de-sac that you show in the middle, how does that driveway interact with that cul-de-sac?

Kelly Libolt: The actual cul-de-sac is installed, that will be removed and this building will be built in that area. I think we are just going to use Sophia's Way just as emergency only. I think a lot of the residents in the area would be happy with that. Ok, the other issue I want to discuss is right now the area is single family and in the SHOD it is required to be RM. So, we would have to do a rezone to this to RM and at the same time apply the SHOD and so our application to the Town Board is to rezone to RM.

Councilman Krakower: How many units are you asking for?

Kelly Libolt: Right now, it shows 87 units and you are allowed 10 per acre under SHOD and another 2.5 for every 10,000 sq. ft. of retail you have. So, it's consistent with what is permitted. Its retail commercial – It's called non-retail area.

Supervisor Tancredi: What is the buffer between this projects and – what are these residential units, is that Sophia? (That's a 50 ft. set back there) and what's across the street on 9G, commercial? (Yes) The blue roofed building is the VFW and the space between the last little proposed building and big residential building, where the drive way is. (That's a cul-de-sac that was built). Why do you have the big building so far back? (Because this is a wetland). What's behind that big building? (Family residential – Oakdale and it's about 60 ft in the back and there is no road way back there).

Kelly Libolt: So, before we can proceed, really it is just to get a sense of what the Board really thinks and you have to start somewhere.

Councilwoman Shershin: I think the neighbors will actually hate it if you run it through the residential.

Councilman Krakower: I'm not sure the neighbors will love it to begin with, but coming through retail buildings into residential to me doesn't make sense. I actually think Sophia's Way would be better access to residential there. I just think the layout needs to be looked at more.

Councilman Cifone: I disagree with you there. You go right through Adams to get to Cherry Hill. I think coming off 9G that's the way to go.

Supervisor Tancredi: The one building up front in the corner on 9G, what is that?

Kelly Libott: That was an old restaurant. I don't think it's any longer in operation. It was a Chinese Restaurant. So, that's retail.

Councilman Cifone: Across the street was Great Western and I think that's closed right now. There is not much over there actually.

Councilman Baisley: I don't like the concept layout at all. I would like to see something like a senior thing where you would have more of a courtyard. The other thing, if you are going to have seniors come up there, I would hope that somewhere along there, there would be something that would attract the seniors because if you have a bunch of seniors just stuck there where there is nothing available for them.

Kelly Libolt: Well, this is 55 or older category. A lot of that street is going to have sidewalks, etc. where people can walk. It's just not in this application as this point. This is just to see if you all feel this is good or not for this area.

Supervisor Tancredi: Well, obviously I would like to see as big a buffer as possible between the senior and residential and I generally agree with some of the comments that Stephan and Jay made.

Councilman Cifone: If I remember correctly, when you came in one other time on this, residents on Oakdale didn't want everything in their back yard and so if I remember correctly, we talked about pines and nice landscaping in the back.

Kelly Libolt: A lot of that has been installed already.

Supervisor Tancredi: Well, I like the fact that there is no parking back there, that's a big plus.

Kelly Libolt: Right, and maybe if there are patios off the back, it would be nice with that wooded area.

COMMITTEE REPORTS

1. FINANCE

Councilman Krakower: No Report

2. FIRE ADVISORY

Councilman Conte: No Report

3. GOVERNMENT OPERATIONS

Councilwoman Shershin: No Report

4. LAND USE & PLANNING

Councilman Krakower: No Report

5. PERSONNEL

Councilman Cifone: No Report

6. RECREATION

Councilman Baisley: No Report
Councilman Krakower: Tilcon Field: They played baseball there this weekend and it was nice to see the work between Tilcon and the Town and local people. Both fields were being played on. It was a lot of fun and impressive how many people came out. Tilcon donated gravel and one of their employees laid it down after clearing the field. It was very nice

7. SENIOR CITIZENS

Councilman Baisley: We will have a meeting next week.

8. TECHNOLOGY & EQUIPMENT

Councilman Carlos: No Report

9. WATER, SEWER, HIGHWAY

Councilman Carlos: Talking to the Department heads, we have drainage issues all over the Town, no matter who I talked to and the last time we got together, that's what was focused on, the drainage. The problem is, how to figure out how to afford any of the repairs. Some have to be done. Maybe we could start some kind of a capital fund. This was discussed in length on how and where to get a money fund made available for this. Bonding was discussed.

Motion made to suspend the rules for comments from the public: Supervisor Tancredi/W. Carlos

CARRIED: 7-0

Victor Genode: I was pretty upset over this plumbing deal where you are going to be going to a Public Hearing on a plumber coming into town and the Plumbing Board, shame on them, wouldn't extend the courtesy to give them the opportunity to work in our Town. We all have one of these, A driver's license. It doesn't say, when I go to Connecticut I've got to get another driver's license or take an insurance certificate out. I've been a contractor for over 50 years and I'm tired of. Why does

the Town of Poughkeepsie have to handcuff anyone coming into this Town to work here? I'm saying there shouldn't be a Public Hearing. This Board should make this happen, rubber stamp this so they can go through. This contractor has worked for many years in Albany. He doesn't have to come back. Do you go back to Motor Vehicle and take a new driver's test every five years? No. I've had this license for many, many years. Why do we have to handcuff these people coming into our Town? Our Town is not friendly to contractors. Let's take care of this now. Shame on that Plumbing Board.

Councilman Krakower stated that this problem came about because the plumbing didn't do what he needed to do. He should have checked up to find out what he needed before starting the job. He was remiss in doing that and if he had he would have been aware of the rules way before hand.

This subject was greatly discussed, pro and cons

Doreen Tignanelli: I disagree on several things with Vic, but I think the main issue here is the fact that if you knew you had the job in November, why would you wait until May? I have some concerns about some of the contractors being used there based on some of the problems we have run into already. The other thing I want to talk about is that there are several active construction sites in the Town that have outstanding invoices they haven't paid, escrow accounts aren't being replenished the way they should and I don't understand why that's allowed to happen. (She got this information from the Freedom of Information Law) Supervisor Tancredi was interested in this information and was going to follow up on it.

Motion made to close the meeting. Supervisor Tancredi/W. Carlos

CARRIED: 7-0

MEETING WAS CLOSED AT 8:36 PM

lkm/FS